

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vürginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,539	10/24/2003	Tadashi Oba	32739M089	6873
	590 06/21/2005	EXAMINER		
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP 1850 M STREET, N.W., SUITE 800			PHAM, HAI CHI	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2861	
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/691,539	OBA ET AL.	6m			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hai C. Pham	2861				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, it ion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum period will apply and will expire SIX (it is statute, cause the application to become the second in the seco	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely  6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	11 April 2005					
3) Since this application is in condition for a	,—					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-22 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 11-20 and 22 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1,3-10 and 21 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 October 2003  Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the output of the control of the con	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b to the drawing(s) be held in a correction is required if the dr	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	48) Pap	rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent Application (PTC er:	D-152)			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claim 2, now cancelled and whose content is incorporated into the base claim 1, as well as of dependent claims 3-5, is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference to Suzuki (JP 2003-182152). Rejections based on the newly cited reference follow.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-4, 6-7, 10 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimoto et al. (JP 2002-067372) in view of Suzuki (JP 2003-182152).

Kimoto et al., an acknowledged prior art, discloses a LED print head used in an image forming apparatus, the LED print head comprising a plurality of light-emitting elements for exposing a photoconductor drum to form an image, wherein the driving current is corrected based on a stored corrected value such that the dot diameter fluctuation is compensated, the adjusted driving current also taking into account a magnitude of a parameter that affects a granularity of the image, e.g., sensitivity of the photosensitive drum (Abstract).

However, Kimoto et al. fails to disclose the correction coefficient for compensating for variations of the light quantity emitted from the light-emitting elements, the beam spot area correction factor being a value that corresponds to a magnitude of a difference between an average value obtained by averaging out beam spot area of the plurality of light-emitting elements, and the granularity of the image being related to the screen angle peculiar to an image pixel.

Suzuki discloses an imaging apparatus comprising a plurality of light-emitting elements (31) for exposing a photoconductor (1), wherein the exposure intensity distribution of the respective light-emitting elements is compensated based on a correction coefficient determined by the shift of the beam spot area from an average value of the respective beam spot areas taken as a threshold value, the average value of the beam spot areas being computed of all the light-emitting elements along the array (English translation, paragraphs [0005], [0010]-[0013]). Suzuki further teaches the suppression of the nonuniformity of the intensity distribution by setting a screen angle for each color (English translation, paragraph [0006]).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide the correction coefficient for compensating for variations of the light quantity emitted from the light-emitting elements in the device of Kimoto et al. as taught by Suzuki. The motivation for doing so would have been to compensate for the differences in the emission characteristics of each of the LEDs as well as to reduce the dispersion of the quantity of light such that the exposure of the photosensitive drum becomes uniform as suggested by Suzuki.

The method claim 21 is deemed to be clearly anticipated by functions of the above structures.

4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimoto et al. in view of Suzuki, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Rushing (U.S. 5,933,682).

Kimoto et al., as modified by Suzuki, discloses all the basic limitations of the claimed invention except for the plurality of LED array chips wherein the correction is performed within an identical LED array chip.

Rushing discloses a LED printer having a plurality of light-emitting element arrays or chips (200), wherein the driver current for each light-emitting element is adjusted for compensating for the differences in the emission characteristics of the LEDs on the print head due to differences in processing of the LEDs, and wherein an exposure time is set in accordance with the image gray level signal. Rushing further teaches that the adjustment of the driving current can be performed for individual light-emitting element or on a group basis as is convenient for control of the driver current to each driver chip (col. 7, lines 60-65).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide the correction coefficient for compensating for variations of the light quantity emitted from the light-emitting elements on a group basis in the device of Kimoto et al. as taught by Rushing. The motivation for doing so would

Art Unit: 2861

have been to compensate for the differences in the emission characteristics of each of the LEDs such that the exposure of the photosensitive drum becomes uniform.

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimoto et al. in view of Suzuki, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Manzer et al. (U.S. 5,124,732).

Kimoto et al., as modified by Suzuki, discloses all the basic limitations of the claimed invention except for the granularity of the image being a surface temperature of the photoconductor.

Manzer et al. discloses an electrophotographic printer in which the drive current of the LEDs of the print head is adjusted in accordance with the temperature of the photoconductor (col. 9, lines 22-40).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to adjust the driving current of the light-emitting element in the print head of Kimoto et al. in accordance with the temperature of the photoconductor as taught by Manzer et al. The motivation for doing so would have been to produce a uniform image density.

6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimoto et al. in view of Suzuki, as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Yamada et al. (U.S. 5,463,473).

Application/Control Number: 10/691,539 Page 6

Art Unit: 2861

Kimoto et al., as modified by Suzuki, discloses all the basic limitations of the claimed invention except for the granularity of the image being a developing bias voltage applied to a developing apparatus.

However, it is well known in the art that each printer has different development characteristics as evidenced by Yamada et al. (col. 1, lines 46-51), which discloses an image recording apparatus in which the drive current of the laser unit (5) is adjusted in accordance with the controlled developing bias power source (6) being applied to the developing unit (7) for compensating for the difference in the developing bias of the developing unit.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to correct the driving current of the light-emitting element in the print head of Kimoto et al. in accordance with the controlled developing bias applied to the developing unit as taught by Yamada et al. The motivation for doing so would have been to compensate for the variation of the development characteristic of the printer as suggested by Yamada et al.

### Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 11-20 and 22 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,539 Page 7

Art Unit: 2861

## Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-10 and 21 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action.

### Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai C. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2260. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on (571) 272-1934. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HAI PHAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Hairlipham

June 17, 2005